



DOCUMENT OF THE
ISLAMIC DEVELOPMENT BANK GROUP
[FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY]

REPORT NO.____/14xxH

DRAFT PROJECT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT ON
PROJECT NAME (PROJECT CODE), COUNTRY

MONTH 14XXH
MONTH 20XXG

INDEPENDENT EVALUATION DEPARTMENT (IEvD)

Questions on this report should be referred to:
Independent Evaluation Department
Email: eval@isdb.org

This document has a restricted distribution and may be used by recipients only in the performance of their official duties. Its contents may not otherwise be disclosed without authorization of IsDB. The boundaries, colors, denominations, and other information shown on any map in this report do not imply any judgment on the part of IsDB concerning the legal status of any territory or the endorsement or acceptance of such boundaries.

Document Information

Document Title	Project Performance Evaluation Report on xxxx
Document File Name	PPER-Project Name-Date-Version
Revision Number	V0.1
Issued By	Independent Evaluation Department – Islamic Development Bank
Issue Date	mm dd yyyyH (mm dd yyyyG)
Total Number of Pages	Total number of pages of the main report (minus annexes/appendices)
Status	Draft

Document Revision History

Version	Date	Author (s)	Comment/Description of Change
Zero Draft V0.0	mm/dd/yy	xxxx	Initial Rough Draft
First Draft V0.1	mm/dd/yy	xxxx	First Draft
Second Draft V0.2	mm/dd/yy	xxxx	Peer-annotated draft
Third Draft V0.3	mm/dd/yy	xxxx	Edited draft incorporating peer review comments
Fourth draft V0.4	mm/dd/yy	Manager/ Lead, PSE	Manager/ Lead cleared draft
Fifth draft V0.5	mm/dd/yy	Director, IEvD	Director-approved draft for onward submission to Operations Directorate
Sixth draft V0.6	mm/dd/yy	xxxx	Revised draft incorporating Operations Comments
Seven draft V0.7	mm/dd/yy	Manager /Lead, PSE	Manager/ Lead cleared draft after incorporating Operations Comments
Final Draft V1.0	mm/dd/yy	Director, IEvD	Director Sign-off Report (internal distribution)
Published draft V1.1	mm/dd/yy	xxxx	Report shared with Country

Document Distribution Log

Distributed to:	Date
Country	
Office of the Chairman, IsDB Group	mm/dd/yyyy
XXXX Department	mm/dd/yyyy

Table of Content

NOTE FOR EVALUATORS	IV
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
CURRENCY EQUIVALENTS AND LIST OF ACRONYMS & ABBREVIATIONS	VI
BASIC PROJECT DATA (UP TO 1 PAGE ONLY)	1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (UP TO 2 PAGES)	2
CHAPTER - 1 : BACKGROUND (2 PAGES ONLY)	3
1.1 CONTEXT AND RATIONALE (UP TO 2 PARAS)	3
1.2 OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE AT APPRAISAL (UP TO 2 PARAS)	3
1.3 FORMULATION AND FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS AT APPRAISAL (UP TO 2 PARAS)	3
1.4 EVALUATION PURPOSE AND PROCESS (ONE PAGE ONLY)	3
CHAPTER - 2 : CRITERIA-BASED ASSESSMENT (UP TO 6 PAGES ONLY)	4
2.1 RELEVANCE	4
2.2 EFFECTIVENESS	4
2.3 EFFICIENCY	4
2.4 SUSTAINABILITY	6
2.5 OVERALL ASSESSMENT	7
2.6 ASSESSMENT BASED ON POLICY OBJECTIVES	7
CHAPTER - 3 : PERFORMANCE OF STAKEHOLDERS (2-3 PAGES ONLY)	8
3.1 BANK PERFORMANCE	8
3.2 PERFORMANCE OF THE BENEFICIARY OF ISDB FINANCING	8
3.3 PERFORMANCE OF OTHER STAKEHOLDERS	9
CHAPTER - 4 : ISSUES, LESSONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (2 PAGES)	10
4.1 ISSUES	10
4.2 LESSONS LEARNED (3-4 LESSONS)	10
4.3 FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (3-4 FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS AND 3-4 RECOMMENDATIONS)	10
ANNEXES	11
ANNEX-1: PROJECT LOCATION MAP	12
ANNEX-2: PHOTOGRAPHS OF PROJECT FACILITIES	13
ANNEX-3: LIST OF PERSONS MET	14
ANNEX-4: LIST OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED	15
ANNEX-5: RETROFITTED LOG-FRAME	16
ANNEX-6: PLANNED AND ACTUAL PROJECT OUTPUTS	17
ANNEX-7A: MAIN ASSUMPTIONS FOR RE-ESTIMATION OF PROJECT'S ECONOMIC INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (EIRR) AT POST-EVALUATION	18
ANNEX-7B: RE-ESTIMATION OF PROJECT'S ECONOMIC INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (EIRR) AT POST-EVALUATION	19
ANNEX-8A: MAIN ASSUMPTIONS FOR RE-ESTIMATION OF PROJECT'S FINANCIAL INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (FIRR) AT POST-EVALUATION	20
ANNEX-8B: RE-ESTIMATION OF PROJECT'S FINANCIAL INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (FIRR) AT POST-EVALUATION	21
ANNEX-09: PLANNED AND ACTUAL DISBURSED FUNDS	24
ANNEX-10: ORGANIZATIONAL CHART OF THE EXECUTING AGENCY AT POST-EVALUATION	25
APPENDIX I: RATING MATRIX	26
APPENDIX II: VALIDATION PROCESS FOR PPER (PROJECT CODE)	28

INDEX OF TABLES

Table-1: Project's financing Plan at Appraisal	3
Table-2: Summary of Actual Itemized Project Cost Compared to Appraisal Estimates	5
Table-3: Actual Financing Plan Compared to Appraisal Estimates	5
Table-4: Project Implementation Schedules	5
Table-5: Summary of Actual Disbursed Funds	6
Table-6: Overall Performance Assessment	7
Table-7: Status of Compliance with Covenants in PPER compared with PCR	8

INDEX OF GRAPHS

Graph 1:	XX
Graph 2:	XX
Graph 3:	XX
Graph 4:	XX

NOTE FOR EVALUATORS

[This section does not constitute part of the PPER body]

This new template covers the preparation of performance evaluation reports for Islamic Development Bank (IsDB) projects, programs, and technical assistance (TA) in the public sector. It replaces the current PPER template, and its implementation will facilitate the process of harmonizing the IsDB Group's evaluation reporting process with those of its major development partners.

The guidelines have been developed, which accompany this template, for the purpose of facilitating the evaluators in preparing the report in a comprehensive, coherent, and consistent manner. **Before using this template in preparing/reviewing the PPER report, evaluator is required to read the guidelines that are essential part of IEVD reporting system and provide guidance to the authors and reviewers for preparing the reports and will ensure consistency of IEVD reporting system.**

The template is based on the evaluation principles and operational practices of ECG-GPS. It provides the structure of Project Performance Evaluation Report (PPER) comprising four chapters (*Background, Criteria-Based Assessment, Performance of Stakeholders, and Issues, Lessons & Recommendations*). The proposed contents for each chapter have been outlined. The Template contains standardized formats of annexes and tables which are essential for substantiating and/or clarifying the findings presented in the text of the PPER. All other annexes, tables, and figures relevant to the specificities of the project should be incorporated in the Annex.

The Template should be flexible to accommodate the specificities of projects in different sectors. It does not limit the responsibility of evaluators to exercise their best judgement, avoid irreverent areas, and focus attention on significant issues. The report may quote freely from or provide cross-references to the relevant PCR. The length of the main report should not exceed 15 pages. (single spaced, excluding annexes). The fonts of the report should be as follows; text- Roboto Light 11, third level/subheadings - Roboto Light 11 bold, second level/subheadings - Roboto Light 12 bold, and main chapter heading- Oswald 16 bold. And Roboto Light 10 for tables inside the report or in the annex

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report has been prepared by a team of Independent Evaluation Department (IEvD) staff comprising xxxx (team leader), xxxx (member) and xxxx (Consultant, if applicable). The valuable inputs provided by xxxx and xxxx (assistants) are gratefully acknowledged.

The report benefited from the overall guidance of xxxx, Director and xxxx, Manager/Lead for Project and Special evaluations.

The report was peer reviewed by xxxx, xxxx, and xxxx of OE Department. The comments on the draft of this report provided by the IsDB sector department (name) were valuable in finalizing the report.

The team would like to thank officials of the Government of (member country) including members of the xxxx (EA/PMU) in xxxx (city) and EA/PMU staff at the project site in xxxx (city) as well as concerned ministries and agencies for their kind cooperation during the evaluation.

CURRENCY EQUIVALENTS AND LIST OF ACRONYMS & ABBREVIATIONS

Currency Equivalents

Currency Unit: XXX (e.g. XOF) Exchange Rate of 1 USD at Key Project Dates

Currency (Use ISO codes for currency codes)	At Project Appraisal (mm/yyyy)	During 1st Disbursement (mm/yyyy)	During Last Disbursement (mm/yyyy)	At Project Completion (mm/yyyy)	At Post-Evaluation (mm/yyyy)
USD 1.00					

Currencies

ID	Islamic Dinar
USD	United States Dollar
XXX (e.g. XOF)	Name of the currency in specific country (e.g. West African CFA Franc - Communauté Financière d'Afrique)

Weights and Measures

ha	= hectare	m ³	= cubic meter
kg	= kilogram	mm	= millimeter
km	= kilometer	mn	= million
m	= meter	mt	= metric ton
m ²	= square meter		

Abbreviations

AR	Appraisal Report
CASS	Country Assistance Strategy Study
CTY	Country Programming Department
EA	Executing Agency
EIRR	Economic Internal Rate of Return
FIRR	Financial Internal Rate of Return
OE	Operations Evaluation
ICB	International Competitive Bidding
KPI	Key Performance Indicator
LCB	Local Competitive Bidding
MCPS	Member Country Partnership Strategy
OC	Operations Complex
PCR	Project Completion Report
PIU	Project Implementation Unit
PMU	Project Management Unit
PPER	Project Post Evaluation Report
RRP	Report and Recommendations of the President

PROJECT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT
ON XXXX PROJECT, XXX

BASIC PROJECT DATA (up to 1 page only)

PROJECT IDENTIFICATION			PROJECT RADAR GRAPH	
PROJECT NAME				
COUNTRY				
CONCERNED DEPARTMENT				
MODE OF FINANCING				
PROJECT PRECEDED BY BANK T.A.				
PREPARATION OF COMPLETION REPORT				
PROJECT NO				
SECTOR				
IMPLEMENTATION DELAY IN MONTHS			EXECUTING AGENCY	
MAJOR (≥ 12 MONTHS)	MINOR (12 MONTHS)	NO	AT APPRAISAL	
			AT POST-EVALUATION	
PROJECT COST IN MILLION				
AT APPRAISAL			AT COMPLETION	AT POST-EVALUATION
FOREIGN CURRENCY	LOCAL CURRENCY	TOTAL		
ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	%	AT APPRAISAL	AT COMPLETION	AT POST-EVALUATION
EIRR				
FIRR				
PROJECT OUTPUTS (INDICATOR)	UNIT	AT APPRAISAL	AT COMPLETION	AT POST-EVALUATION
PROJECT OUTCOMES (INDICATOR)	UNIT	AT APPRAISAL	AT COMPLETION	AT POST-EVALUATION
RATING SUMMARY	AT COMPLETION (PCR)	AT POST-EVALUATION		
OVERALL ASSESSMENT				
EVALUATOR'S DATA				
EVALUATOR'S NAME				
WORK PROGRAM	YEAR(H)		QUARTER	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (UP TO 2 PAGES)

BACKGROUND

- 1.
- 2.

MAIN FINDINGS

3 Relevance:

- 4.
- 5

6 Effectiveness:

- 7
- 8

9 Efficiency:

- 10

11 Sustainability:

- 12
- 13

ISSUES:

- 17

LESS LEARNED

18 Lesson learned 1 ...

19 Lesson learned 2...

FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

For the IsDB:

- A. Recommendation 1.....
- B. Recommendation 2....

For the Government of xxxx:

- C. Recommendation 1....
- D. Recommendation 2 etc...

CHAPTER - 1 : BACKGROUND (2 PAGES ONLY)**1.1 CONTEXT AND RATIONALE (UP TO 2 PARAS)**

1.1.1 .

1.1.2 .

1.2 OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE AT APPRAISAL (UP TO 2 PARAS)

1.2.1 .

1.2.2 .

1.3 FORMULATION AND FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS AT APPRAISAL (UP TO 2 PARAS)

1.3.1 .

1.3.2 .

Table-1: Project's financing Plan at Appraisal

US\$ million

Sources of Financing	Local Cost	Foreign Cost	Total Cost	%
Total Cost				

Source:

1.4 EVALUATION PURPOSE AND PROCESS (ONE PAGE ONLY)

1.4.1 .

1.4.2 .

1.4.3 .

1.4.4 .

CHAPTER - 2 : CRITERIA-BASED ASSESSMENT (UP TO 6 PAGES ONLY)**2.1 RELEVANCE****(a) Consistency with Country Development Strategy and IsDB Group Strategy**

2.1.1

2.1.2

2.1.3

(b) Relevance of Project Objectives

2.1.4

2.1.5

2.1.6

(c) Relevance of Project Design

2.1.7

2.1.8

2.1.9

(d) Assessment of Relevance (One para only)

2.1.10

2.2 EFFECTIVENESS**(a) Project Outputs**

2.2.1

2.2.2

2.2.3

(b) Project Outcomes

2.2.4

2.2.5

2.2.6 The main outputs of the project are provided below while the details of planned and actual project outputs are shown in **Annex-6: Planned and Actual Project Outputs**.

(c) Net Effect of the Project

2.2.7

2.2.8

(d) Assessment of Effectiveness (One para only)

2.2.9

2.3 EFFICIENCY**(a) Economic and Financial Analysis**

2.3.1

2.3.2

2.3.3 The main assumptions for re-estimation of the project's Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR) at post-valuation and an example of its re-estimation are shown in **Annex-7A: Main**

Assumptions for Re-Estimation of Project's Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR) at Post-Evaluation and Annex-7B: Re-estimation of Project's Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR) at Post-Evaluation, respectively.

2.3.4 The main assumptions for re-estimation of the project's Financial Internal Rate of Return (FIRR) at post-valuation and an example of its re-estimation are shown in Annex-8A: Main Assumptions for Re-Estimation of Project's Financial Internal Rate of Return (FIRR) at Post-Evaluation and Annex-8B: Re-estimation of Project's Financial Internal Rate of Return (FIRR) at Post-Evaluation, respectively.

(b) Cost Effectiveness (optional)

2.3.5 .

2.3.6 .

2.3.7 .

(c) Analysis of Cost and Schedule

i. Assessment of Actual Cost and Time

2.3.8 .

2.3.9 .

2.3.10 The summary of actual itemized project cost compared to appraisal estimates is shown in Table-2 below.

Table-2: Summary of Actual Itemized Project Cost Compared to Appraisal Estimates
Amount in US\$ Million

Component	Appraised Cost	Actual Cost	Variation	
			Amount	%
Total				

Source:

2.3.11

2.3.12 Based on actual disbursements, the actual financing plan of the project compared to appraised estimates is provided in Table-3 below.

Table-3: Actual Financing Plan Compared to Appraisal Estimates

Amount in US\$ Million

Source of Financing	Appraised Financing Plan		Actual Financing Plan	
	Amount	%	Amount	%
Total				

Financing Ratio = 00:00

Financed Ratio = 00:00

Source:

2.3.13

2.3.14 The project implementation schedules are given in Table-4 below:

Table-4: Project Implementation Schedules

Signing Date of Agreement	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Delay	Variation

--	--	--	--	--

Source:

ii. Review of Disbursement

2.3.15 The summary of the actual disbursed funds of the project is shown in **Table-5** below while the details of planned and actual disbursed funds are shown in **Annex-09**.

Table-5: Summary of Actual Disbursed Funds

ID/UD\$ Million

Sl. No.	Date	Amount Disbursed (ID/US\$)	%	Cumulative Disbursed (ID/US\$)	%
Total					

Source: Project Disbursement Report

(d) Assessment of Efficiency (One para only)

2.3.16 .

2.4 SUSTAINABILITY

(a) Sustainability of Project Benefits

2.4.1

2.4.2 .

(b) Beneficiary Ownership and Commitment

2.4.3

2.4.4 .

(c) Institutional Sustainability

2.4.5

2.4.6

2.4.7 .

(d) Social and Environmental Sustainability

i. Mitigating environmental impacts

2.4.8

2.4.9

ii. Mitigating social impacts

2.4.10

2.4.11 .

iii. Environmental safeguard measures and Mitigation of risks

2.4.12

2.4.13

iv. Summary of Social and Environmental sustainability

2.4.14

2.4.15

(e) Assessment of Sustainability (One para only)

2.4.16

2.5 OVERALL ASSESSMENT

2.5.1 The overall project performance rating is based on separate assessments of the four core evaluation criteria (relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, and sustainability) which are then aggregated to produce the overall rating (see **Table-6**).

2.5.2

2.5.3

2.5.4

2.5.5 In light of the above, project performance is classified as **“Successful”** on an aggregate basis.

Table-6: Overall Performance Assessment

Rating Criterion	PCR		EVALUATION	
	Rating Assessment	Rating Value (%)	Rating Assessment	Rating Value (%)
Relevance				
Effectiveness				
Efficiency				
Sustainability				
Overall Rating				

2.6 ASSESSMENT BASED ON POLICY OBJECTIVES

2.6.1 Attempt this section only if applicable.

(a) Gender and Youth Integration

2.6.2

(b) Capacity Building

2.6.3

2.6.4

(c) Technology and Innovation

2.6.5

2.6.6 .

(d) Synergy and Coordination between stakeholders

2.6.7

2.6.8

2.6.9 .

(e) knowledge generation/retention

2.6.10

2.6.11

2.6.12

2.6.13 .

CHAPTER - 3 : PERFORMANCE OF STAKEHOLDERS (2-3 PAGES ONLY)**3.1 BANK PERFORMANCE****(a) Quality of Project Preparation**

3.1.1

3.1.2 .

(b) Use of M&E base on RBM (assessment of project M&E)

3.1.3

3.1.4

(c) Overall Assessment of the Bank (One para only)

3.1.5

3.2 PERFORMANCE OF THE BENEFICIARY OF ISDB FINANCING**(a) Readiness and Quality of Preparation**

3.2.1

3.2.2 .

(b) Quality of Implementation Arrangements

3.2.3

3.2.4

3.2.5 . The organizational chart of the EA at post-evaluation is depicted in **Annex-10: Organizational Chart of the Executing Agency at Post-Evaluation**

(c) Compliance with Covenants and Safeguards

3.2.6 .

3.2.7

3.2.8 **Table-7** below lists the status of compliance with covenants in PPER compared with that of PCR.

Table-7: Status of Compliance with Covenants in PPER compared with PCR

Type of Covenant*	PCR		PPER			
	Complied With (No.)	Partly Complied With (No.)	Not Complied With (No.)	Complied With (No.)	Partly Complied With (No.)	Not Complied With (No.)
Regulatory	x			x		
Financial	x				x	
Institutional						
Social	x			x		
Environmental	x			x		
Other						
Total						

Source:

3.2.9

3.2.10 .

(d) Responsiveness to Bank Supervision

3.2.11

3.2.12 .

(e) Effectiveness of Measures for Project Sustainability

3.2.13

3.2.14 .

(f) Overall Assessment of the Executing Agency (One para only)

3.2.15

3.3 PERFORMANCE OF OTHER STAKEHOLDERS

(a) Assessment of consultant

3.3.1 Attempt only if applicable.

3.3.2

(b) Assessment of contractor

3.3.3 Attempt only if applicable.

3.3.4

(c) Assessment of other stakeholders – if applicable:

3.3.5 Attempt only if applicable.

3.3.6

CHAPTER - 4 : ISSUES, LESSONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (2 PAGES)

4.1 ISSUES

4.1.1

4.1.2

4.1.3 .

4.2 LESSONS LEARNED (3-4 LESSONS)

4.2.1 Lesson Learned 1

4.2.2 Lesson Learned 2

4.2.3 Lesson Learned 3...

4.3 FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (3-4 FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS AND 3-4 RECOMMENDATIONS)

4.3.1 Follow-up Actions

For the IsDB:

A. Follow-up Action 1.....

B. Follow-up Action 2....

For the Government of xxxx:

C. Follow-up Action 1....

D. Follow-up Action 2 ...

4.3.2 Recommendations

For the IsDB:

A. Recommendation 1.....

B. Recommendation 2....

For the Government of xxxx:

C. Recommendation 1....

D. Recommendation 2 ...

ANNEXES

ANNEX-1: PROJECT LOCATION MAP

ANNEX-2: PHOTOGRAPHS OF PROJECT FACILITIES

ANNEX-3: LIST OF PERSONS MET

Sl. No.	Name	Institution	Position
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			

ANNEX-4: LIST OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

- A. General Documents for all Operations
- B. Specific Documents
- C. Miscellaneous Documents
- D. Websites Visited

ANNEX-5: RETROFITTED LOG-FRAME

ANNEX-6: PLANNED AND ACTUAL PROJECT OUTPUTS

[Outputs of a hypothetical road project are shown as examples]

Sl. No.	Planned	Actual
1	Rehabilitation of 125 kilometers of secondary roads under the project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ A total of 105 kilometers of secondary roads were rehabilitated including a bridge damaged by floods and not part of the original design. Fewer kilometers were rehabilitated than envisaged at appraisal, as the 20 km segment was transferred to another project due to floods in the country.
2	Provision for various road maintenance equipment and award of maintenance works to private contractors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Road maintenance equipment were provided was of high quality although considered to be expensive to operate and maintain. ➤ Maintenance works were awarded to private contractors. ➤ Private sector was able to hire equipment from the pool.
3	Implementation of necessary road infrastructure reforms: Organization of road sector institution, Road Safety Acts, etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Road sector institution was organized, i.e., ministerial and departmental functions defined. ➤ National Safety Road Council was established. ➤ The Road Safety Acts are currently being enacted. While formally complied with, there is no specific framework that has been prepared or implemented. ➤ Customs facilities at the border were improved.

Source:

ANNEX-7A: MAIN ASSUMPTIONS FOR RE-ESTIMATION OF PROJECT'S ECONOMIC INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (EIRR) AT POST-EVALUATION

[The case of an education project is shown as illustration; calculations not shown]

The development of the project will benefit the country's economy at large by providing a pool of better-trained graduates, thereby making a useful contribution to the economic development process, especially in the region.

The main assumptions for re-estimation of the project's Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR) are given below:

1. The normal exchange rate used is (local currency) per US\$ 1.
2. The standard conversion factor is assumed to be 0.81. Investment cost is multiplied by this conversion factor to obtain the effective investment cost.
3. The average tuition fee is estimated dividing the total tuition revenue by the total number of students enrolled in academic year 20XX. It is about (local currency) or US\$ --- per year per student.
4. The expected incremental salary of graduates is assumed to be around (local currency) or US\$ --- per month. This is the average of the earnings of the cohort of graduates from different universities. For instance, in the project appraisal document of a similar project of the World Bank, a lower figure US\$ --- was used due to variance in the quality of university graduates. This benefit is received by students who graduate starting from 20XX or the second year of the project. The total benefit is the cumulative incremental salaries received by previous graduates' cohort plus the benefit received by the present cohort.
5. The study cost is assumed to be around (local currency) or US\$ --- per month. The length of the study is assumed to be 5 years. This is the average time of study in (member country) at the bachelor's degree.

Source:

ANNEX-7B: RE-ESTIMATION OF PROJECT'S ECONOMIC INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (EIRR) AT POST-EVALUATION

[The case of an education project is shown as illustration; calculations not shown]

The benefits realized from this project would be:

1. Improvement in the teaching system in the university which leads to better quality of graduates.
2. Increased revenues from research activities conducted by the university due to better research facilities.
3. Increased salary received by the graduates in the labour market due to better quality.
4. Increase in local economic activities due to the increase in the university's enrolment capacity. More students are expected to spend their allowances in the local economy to fulfil their needs.

The first and fourth benefits are difficult to quantify while the rest are able to be quantified. The third benefit is actually the expected incremental salary of graduates of the university due to better quality which is the primary benefit of the project.

The economic costs are the investment cost, operational and maintenance cost, and the teaching cost of the graduates during their study in the university. The discount rate used is 7% which is higher than the prevailing mark-up of the ISDB's financing (around 6%).

The result of the economic analysis is the Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR) of the project which is estimated at 9.60% with a discount rate of 7%. Since the EIRR is higher than the discount rate, the project is very sensitive to the change in the graduates' earnings. An increase/decrease of 25% of graduates' earnings results in increase/decrease of EIRR of 16.7% and 4.4%, respectively.

Sensitivity analysis also shows that the increase in study cost will reduce the economic benefit of the project. An increase in study cost by 25% reduces the EIRR to 9.5% which is still higher than the discount rate.

However, there is still another benefit which cannot be estimated as mentioned before. This benefit is derived from the impact of the student activities on the local economy. Facilities such as photocopying, manuscript typing, printing, restaurants, and housing are commonly spread out around the university campus. Moreover, the improvement of the enrolment capacity and development of new campus will cause some multiplier effect on the local economy.

Source:

ANNEX-8A: MAIN ASSUMPTIONS FOR RE-ESTIMATION OF PROJECT'S FINANCIAL INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (FIRR) AT POST-EVALUATION

[The case of a railway project is given as illustration]

The main assumptions for re-estimation of the project's Financial Internal Rate of Return (FIRR) are given below:

	Unit	At Appraisal	At Post-Eval	
i.	The revenue per passenger/km	Riyal	43	100.8
ii.	The revenue per freight/ton/km	Riyal	100	234.5
iii.	Current volume of passenger/km from Tehran to Mashhad	billion km	5.556	7.22
iv.	Current volume of freight/ton/km	billion km	2.5	1.11
v.	Current exchange rate	1 US\$ = Riyal	8000	10700
vi.	Based on the above exchange rate, the current passenger revenue	billion Riyal	238.908	728.31
		million US\$	29.86	68.07
vii.	Based on the above exchange rate, the current freight/ton/km revenue	billion Riyal	250	260.5764
		million US\$	31.25	24.35
viii.	Expenses per passenger/km	Riyal	18	42.21
			100.008	304.874388
	Total Expenses	million US\$	12.50	28.49
ix.	Expenses per freight/ton/km	Riyal	23.7	55.58
			59.25	401.4
	Total Expenses	million US\$	7.41	37.52
x.	The passenger traffic is expected to grow by 20% during the 1 st year and 9.24% for the remaining life of the project. The freight traffic is expected to grow by 15% during the 1 st year and 7.18% for the remaining life of the project.			
xi.	There is a demand which is not being met by the current supply. It is assumed that when a new system is in place it will generate a higher demand.			

Source:

ANNEX-8B: RE-ESTIMATION OF PROJECT'S FINANCIAL INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (FIRR) AT POST-EVALUATION

[The case of a railway project is given as illustration]

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Incr. revenues & expenses																		
Incremental revenues:																		
Revenues: Passengers						50.40	54.33	58.57	63.14	68.07	73.38	79.10	85.28	91.93	99.11	106.84	115.18	124.17
Revenues: Freights						23.40	23.64	23.87	24.11	24.35	24.87	25.40	25.94	26.49	27.05	27.63	28.22	28.82
Total revenues						73.80	77.97	82.44	87.25	92.42	98.25	104.50	111.22	118.42	126.16	134.47	143.39	152.98
Operating expenses: passengers						23.44	24.61	25.84	27.14	28.49	30.72	33.11	35.70	38.48	41.49	44.72	48.21	51.98
Operating expenses: freights						30.86	32.41	34.03	35.73	37.52	38.31	39.13	39.96	40.81	41.68	42.56	43.47	44.39
Total operating expenses						54.31	57.02	59.87	62.87	66.01	69.03	72.24	75.66	79.29	83.16	87.29	91.68	96.37
Net operating income						19.49	20.95	22.57	24.39	26.41	29.22	32.26	35.56	39.13	43.00	47.18	51.71	56.61
Investment outflows																		
ISDB	0.00	0.00	9.05	28.00	0.00													

PROJECT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION
REPORT ON XXXX PROJECT, XXX

disbursement																		
GOI contribution	18.80	27.68	37.96	20.00	3.48													
Total investment outflows	18.80	27.68	47.01	48.00	3.48													
Net cash flows	-18.80	27.68	47.01	48.00	3.48	19.49	20.95	22.57	24.39	26.41	29.22	32.26	35.56	39.13	43.00	47.18	51.71	56.61
Project's FIRR	12.50%																	

Source

ANNEX-09: PLANNED AND ACTUAL DISBURSED FUNDS

ANNEX-10: ORGANIZATIONAL CHART OF THE EXECUTING AGENCY AT POST-EVALUATION

APPENDIX I: RATING MATRIX

CORE CRITERIA	SUB-CRITERIA	SUMMARISED EVALUATION FINDINGS RELATING TO EACH SUB-CRITERIA	OVERALL CORE-CRITERIA SCORE
1. RELEVANCE	Consistency of project objectives with country overall development strategy and with the beneficiaries' needs and with the ISDBG's Member Country Partnership Strategy (MCPS)		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!
	Relevance of Project Objectives and Coherence between outputs and outcomes (including the modified ones): It is an assessment of the internal logic of the results chain of the operation and the validity of underlying assumptions. It assess the extent to which the project's objectives are clearly stated and focused on outcomes rather than outputs. Also, the realism of intended outcomes in the country's current circumstances.		
	Relevance of the design at entry, this includes technical, financial and development related design. <i>It assesses the relevance of the technical options and solutions adopted, to the beneficiaries needs. If applicable; relevance of the design at closing (including the modifications) is also assessed.</i>		
	Total Relevance		
2. EFFECTIVENESS	<i>Achieved of project outputs and outcomes compared to planned targets.</i>		#DIV/0! #DIV/0!
	<i>Net effect of the project (as compared with existing or constructed counterfactual) and other Project externalities (unintended consequences positive, negative, or specific problem solved/created)</i>		
	Total Effectiveness		

3. EFFICIENCY	<i>Cost- benefit Analysis of the project (Did the benefits of the project (achieved or expected to be achieved) exceed project cost?)</i>		#DIV/0! #DIV/0!
	<i>Cost Effectiveness (Were the benefits of the project achieved at least cost?)</i>		
	Total Efficiency		
4. SUSTAINABILITY	<i>Technical, Financial, Economic soundness of the project results (including O&M facilitation, availability of recurrent funding, spare parts, workshop facilities etc.)</i>		#DIV/0!
	<i>Beneficiary commitment, including supportive legal/regulatory framework and socio-political/stakeholder support</i>		#DIV/0!
	<i>Institutional sustainability (organizational and management effectiveness)</i>		#DIV/0!
	<i>Resilience of the project results to exogenous factor</i>		
	Total Sustainability		
	AGGREGATED PROJECT DEVELOPMENT OUTCOME (APDO)		

APPENDIX II: VALIDATION PROCESS FOR PPER (PROJECT CODE)

Sl. No.	Comments of the Relevant Complex	Evaluator's Comments
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		